## **AGENDA**

January 10, 2013 Subcommittee meeting on Adult Fish counting at FCRPS dams

- Adult count contract costs have increased and an assessment of necessity for each of the ongoing counting operations underway in 2013 has been requested by NWW, NWP to assist with the need for continued prioritization of this O&M funding. Some FPOM members have mentioned that all current sites may not be critical every year, due to the extensive dataset that has been built over past years. Should the dates for counting remain the same at each facility?
- > FPOM members advised that a TAC representative should attend to advise on use specific to harvest, assumed to be covered within the Biop
- Identify if there are any locations where adult counting is currently being undertaken on an annual basis by the Corps that are not critical to meeting Biop, AMIP, or Fish Accords agreements. Identify the specific legal requirements in place for Corps to perform adult fish counting.
- ➤ Should O&M funding be held responsible for Fish Accords agreements when money is specifically being provided by CRFM to meet Fish Accords commitments? For example, 3 treaty tribe fish accord on page 8 suggests Corps will count lamprey. Would it not be more appropriate for this to be paid for by the Fish Accord \$\$?